

**From:** "Tapia, Cecilia" </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=61621E8880144978A238A0C450221714-TAPIA, CECILIA>  
**To:** Hague  
Mark:Slugantz  
"Lynn: Brooks"  
Karl  
**CC:** "Jackson, Robert W." <Jackson.Robertw@epa.gov>  
**Date:** 9/3/2014 2:18:32 PM  
**Subject:** FW: USGS report submission date

---

Looks like 4-7 weeks for the final report. I'll ask him if his boss is willing to send it after the second review.

Thanks  
Cecilia

**From:** Schumacher, John [mailto:jschu@usgs.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 03, 2014 3:10 PM  
**To:** Tapia, Cecilia  
**Cc:** Field, Jeff; Jackson, Robert W.; Michael Slifer  
**Subject:** Re: USGS report submission date

Cecilia,

The admin letter report is in review. I sent Dan an update this morning. It has been through our in-house technical review and those comments have been addressed. I did have a couple of comments I passed onto Dan that I could not resolve and I'm sure I'll hear back soon on those. It went to our outside reviewer this morning but he is hosting a conference on rads this week so probably will not be looked at until next week. After I get his comments back, it may take a week for me to address those then it goes to editorial, then back to me, then to my boss, then to our Bureau approving official. To jump through all those hoops I'm sure will take another 4-7 weeks, especially given we're at the end of the FY and the editors are pretty booked up. We can check with my boss and see if he is willing to provide you a draft copy after its cleaned up from the 2nd technical review. I wish the process was faster but it is thorough and I'd rather have this report thoroughly examined before it goes out to the public.

Most of the delay was trying to ensure all possible data from external sources (other states etc.) were compiled. Also, small amount of data were trickling in as late and first week of August (ex. I finally realized after chatting with MSD in July that there was more rad data for the Bridgeton leachate than the 2011-2013 data got from you guys earlier this summer).

Cheers,

John

On Wed, Sep 3, 2014 at 2:08 PM, Tapia, Cecilia <[Tapia.Cecilia@epa.gov](mailto:Tapia.Cecilia@epa.gov)> wrote:

John, as you can see from the conversation record, everyone is anxiously awaiting the USGS's evaluation of the GW data.

Can you let me know when we will have your report.

Thanks

Cecilia

-----Original Message-----

From: Field, Jeff

Sent: Wednesday, September 03, 2014 7:33 AM

To: Tapia, Cecilia; Jackson, Robert W.; Stoy, Alyse; Sanders, LaTonya; Slugantz, Lynn; Peterson, Mary

Subject: FW: Phone Call from Sen Blunt Staffer (DeGregorio) RE: West Lake (UNCLASSIFIED)

FYI

-----Original Message-----

From: Kiefer, Robyn V NWK [<mailto:Robyn.V.Kiefer@usace.army.mil>]

Sent: Wednesday, September 03, 2014 6:38 AM

To: Gravatt, Dan; Field, Jeff

Cc: Young, Scott E NWK; Leibbert, Jason M NWK

Subject: Phone Call from Sen Blunt Staffer (DeGregorio) RE: West Lake (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Dan/Jeff:

Yesterday afternoon, I received a call from Kerry DeGregorio, Constituent Advocate for Senator Blunt. Attached is a copy of the record of verbal correspondence documenting the call.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,  
Robyn

Robyn Kiefer  
Project Manager  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
Phone: 816-389-3615  
Cell: 816-803-5730

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
Caveats: NONE

--

John G. Schumacher  
Chief, Hydrologic Investigations  
U.S. Geological Survey  
Missouri Water Science Center  
1400 Independence Road  
Rolla, MO 65401  
573.308.3678 573.308.3645(fax)

email: [jschu@usgs.gov](mailto:jschu@usgs.gov)